Systematic reviews of diagnostic test evaluations: What’s behind the scenes?

In the interesting editorial by Pai and colleagues (1), an important factor not mentioned is that the inter- and intraobserver variability of the gold standard must be known before one can assess any new test. No new test can be expected to match the gold standard more closely than the inter- and intraobserver variability for that gold standard. This issue has been addressed for the measurement of carotid stenosis (2).

Failure to appreciate this limitation will result in a new test not matching the gold standard, even when the new test is as good, and sometimes better than, the gold standard. Of course, any new test will also have its own inter- and intraobserver variability.
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