**A normal-calcium, low-protein, low-salt diet reduced recurrence of renal stones over 5 years**

By Borghi L, Schianchi T, Meschi T, et al.

**Comparison of two diets for the prevention of recurrent stones in idiopathic hypercalciuria.** N Engl J Med. 2002 Jan 10;346:77-84.

**Question**

In men with recurrent calcium oxalate stones and hypercalciuria, is a diet containing a normal amount of calcium but reduced amounts of animal protein and salt more effective than a traditional low-calcium diet?

**Design**

Randomized (unclear allocation concealment*), blinded (outcome assessors),* controlled trial with 5-year follow-up.

**Setting**

An outpatient department in Parma, Italy.

**Patients**

120 men (mean age 45 y) who had idiopathic hypercalciuria (urinary calcium excretion > 300 mg/d) on an unrestricted diet, recurrent formation of calcium oxalate stones (≥ 2 colic episodes with expulsion of stones or radiographic evidence of retained stones), no known condition commonly associated with calcium nephrolithiasis, no previous visit to a stone disease center, and no current treatment for prevention of recurrent stones. 103 men (86%) completed the study (13 withdrew, 2 were lost to follow-up, and 2 died).

**Intervention**

60 men were allocated to a normal-calcium (30 mmol/d), low-animal-protein (52 g/d), low-salt (50 mmol/d) diet (intervention diet). They were given detailed written explanations to help them comply with the diet.

60 men were allocated to a low-calcium diet and instructed to avoid milk, yogurt, and cheese so that calcium intake would be reduced to 10 mmol/d. Both groups were advised to avoid large quantities of oxalate-rich foods (e.g., walnuts, spinach, and chocolate) and to drink 2 to 3 liters of water/d.

**Main outcome measure**

First recurrence of a symptomatic renal stone or presence of a radiographically identified stone.

**Main results**

Analysis was by intention to treat. Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that over 5 years, men who received the intervention diet were less likely to have a recurrence of stones than were men who received the low-calcium diet (Table).

**Conclusion**

In men with recurrent calcium oxalate stones and hypercalciuria, a normal-calcium, low-animal-protein, low-salt diet reduced the risk for recurrent stones more than did a traditional low-calcium diet over 5 years.

**Sources of funding:** University of Parma and Italian Ministry for Universities and for Scientific and Technological Research.
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*See Glossary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Intervention diet</th>
<th>Low-calcium diet</th>
<th>RRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence of stones</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>51% (2 to 76)</td>
<td>6 (3 to 50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations defined in Glossary; RRR, NNT, and CI calculated from point estimates reported in article.

Several questions remain to be answered. Is dietary modification as effective in patients with less extreme urinary values? What are the relative contributions of higher calcium, lower animal protein, and lower sodium to reduced stone recurrence? For patients who prefer to avoid dairy products (the major source of dietary calcium), do calcium supplements work as well if taken at mealtime? Can this dietary approach also reduce the risk for recurrence in patients with calcium phosphate stones?

Patients and providers should be aware of this evidence supporting the benefits of dietary and fluid modifications to prevent stone recurrence. Physicians should stop restricting calcium intake.

**Commentary**

Calcium oxalate kidney stones are common, costly, painful, and preventable. Dietary modification, particularly calcium restriction, has been a hallmark of prevention but has never been shown to be beneficial. Observational studies in the mid-1990s found that a higher dietary calcium intake was associated with a reduced risk for incident stone formation (1, 2). However, the question remained whether increasing calcium actually decreased in the intervention group, probably because dietary calcium and other nutrients and the risk of symptomatic kidney stones. Ann Intern Med. 1997;126:497-504.

**References**
