A specialist-nurse intervention reduced readmissions in patients hospitalized with chronic heart failure


**Question**

Can a specialist-nurse intervention reduce mortality and morbidity in patients hospitalized with chronic heart failure?

**Design**

Randomized (allocation concealed*), blinded (outcome assessors)†, controlled trial with follow-up at 1 year.

**Setting**

An acute medical admissions unit at a teaching hospital in Glasgow, Scotland, United Kingdom.

**Patients**

165 patients (mean age 75 y, 58% men) who were admitted on an emergency basis with heart failure caused by left ventricular systolic dysfunction. Exclusion criteria were inability to comply with the intervention, acute myocardial infarction, comorbidity likely to lead to death or readmission in the near future, planned discharge to long-term residential care, or residence outside of the hospital catchment area. Follow-up at 1 year was 95%.

**Intervention**

84 patients were allocated to usual care plus a specialist-nurse intervention, which consisted of planned home visits of decreasing frequency supplemented by telephone contact as needed. The aim was to educate patients about heart failure and its treatment, optimize treatment, monitor electrolyte levels, teach self-monitoring and management, encourage treatment adherence, liaise with other health care providers, and provide psychological support. Nurses were given training and followed written protocols on the use of specific drugs. Patients were given a pocket-sized booklet that included information about heart failure and its treatment; contact information for nurses; a list of their drugs, weight, and blood test results; and details of planned visits. 81 patients were allocated to usual care and managed by the admitting physician and subsequently the general practitioner. They did not see the specialist nurses after discharge.

**Main outcome measures**

Combined outcome of death or readmission for heart failure (emergency or elective). Secondary outcomes included combined death or readmission for any reason, death, readmission for worsening chronic heart failure, and readmission for any reason.

**Main results**

At 1 year, fewer patients in the specialist-nurse group than in the usual-care group had the combined outcome of death or readmission for heart failure, and fewer were readmitted for heart failure (Table). The groups did not differ for combined death or readmission for any reason (62% vs 75%, \(P = 0.075\)), death (30% vs 31%, \(P = 0.81\)), or for readmission for any reason (56% vs 60%, \(P = 0.27\)).

**Conclusion**

A specialist-nurse intervention reduced readmissions for heart failure in patients admitted to the hospital with chronic heart failure.

Source of funding: Scottish Office, Department of Health.

For correspondence: Dr. J.J. McMurray, Western Infirmary, Glasgow, Scotland, UK. E-mail j.mc匡urray@bio.gla.ac.uk.

*See Glossary.
†Information provided by author.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes at 1 y</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Usual care</th>
<th>RRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death or readmission for HF</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>30% (3 to 53)</td>
<td>7 (4 to 70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readmission for HF</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>57% (21 to 78)</td>
<td>6 (5 to 16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‡Abbreviations defined in Glossary; RRR, NNT, and CI calculated from data in article.

**Commentary**

Bridging the transition from hospital to home in chronic heart failure appears to be essential for therapeutic success. A growing body of evidence supports the notion that such a bridge decreases morbidity from this disease, but cost-effectiveness remains unclear. Home health care can be expensive and challenging and may lack standardization, which results in heterogeneity of effectiveness from location to location. New approaches, such as the use of remote telemetry programs that transmit patient information to a central facility, are now emerging to address these concerns (1).

In the study by Blue and colleagues, an important finding that might affect the interpretation of the results relates to group differences in prescription of key drugs that occurred during hospitalization. The intervention group had an absolute increment of 38% in the prescription of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors during the hospital stay, whereas the control group had only a 21% increase. In addition, the absolute dose in enalapril equivalents was 2-fold higher in the intervention group at hospital discharge. Even with this difference, the specialist-nurse home-care component was effective given that the magnitude and rapidity of benefit could not be solely explained by the predischarge drug intervention.

One final point relates to the population of patients hospitalized each year for heart failure secondary to diastolic dysfunction (an exclusion criterion in this study). To date, this distinct population has not been studied in clinical trials. No standard strategies for their care exist, even though this group might benefit more from lifestyle changes, medications, and compliance-ensuring strategies.
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