Carvedilol reduced mortality and morbidity caused by myocardial infarction in patients with left ventricular dysfunction


**Question**
In patients with left ventricular dysfunction, does adding carvedilol to the management of myocardial infarction (MI) reduce mortality and nonfatal MI?

**Design**
Randomized [allocation concealed*]†, blinded (patients, judicial assessors of outcomes, data analysts),* placebo-controlled trial with a mean 1.3-year follow-up.

**Setting**
163 centers in 17 countries.

**Patients**
1959 patients (mean age 63 y, 74% men) participated. Inclusion criteria were age ≥ 18 years; stable and definite MI occurring 3 to 21 days before randomization; left ventricular ejection fraction ≤ 0.40; wall-motion score index ≤ 1.3; current treatment with angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors for ≥ 48 hours with a stable dose for ≥ 24 hours; and if the patient was having heart failure, treatment with diuretics and ACE inhibitors. Exclusion criteria included uncontrolled heart failure, unstable angina, and need for intravenous diuretics or inotropes. Follow-up was 100%.

**Intervention**
975 patients were allocated to carvedilol and 984 to the placebo group. Patients initially received 6.25 mg carvedilol twice daily; the dose was titrated to a maximum of 25 mg twice daily. Treatment was reviewed every 3 months for the first year and every 4 months thereafter until the study ended, at which time medication was withdrawn over 1 to 2 weeks.

**Main outcome measures**
All-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, nonfatal MI, and all-cause mortality or nonfatal MI.

**Main results**
Analysis was by intention to treat. All-cause and cardiovascular mortality and nonfatal MI were lower in the carvedilol group than in the placebo group (Table).

**Conclusion**
Adding carvedilol to the short-term management of myocardial infarction (MI) reduced all-cause and cardiovascular mortality and nonfatal MI in patients with left ventricular dysfunction.

**Sources of funding:** Glaxo SmithKline and Roche Pharmaceuticals.

For correspondence: Professor H.J. Dargie, Department of Cardiology, Western Infirmary, Glasgow G11 2NT, Scotland, UK. FAX 44-141-211-1791.

*See Glossary.
†Information provided by author.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Carvedilol</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>RRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All-cause mortality</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>22% (3 to 38)</td>
<td>30 (16 to 244)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular mortality</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>24% (4 to 40)</td>
<td>29 (16 to 185)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfatal MI</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>40% (9 to 60)</td>
<td>44 (24 to 228)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause mortality or nonfatal MI</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>27% (11 to 40)</td>
<td>20 (12 to 52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations defined in Glossary; RRR, NNT, and CI calculated from data in article.

**Commentary**
Determining optimum medical management of patients who have had acute MI has been advancing through a series of randomized controlled trials. Such agents and interventions as aspirin, thrombolytics, β-blockers, ACE inhibitors, and percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty have been systematically studied in this setting. Although the current study by the CAPRICORN investigators shows that a clinical benefit existed in patients who were treated with carvedilol after acute MI with left ventricular dysfunction, some of the study’s statistical aspects, such as changing the primary end point during the course of the trial, may affect its approval for this indication (1). In fact, enrollment for this trial was impeded in several countries because of the considered-to-be established benefits of β-blocker therapy after acute MI, especially in high-risk patients. For example, most of the mortality benefit of β-blockade in the β-Blocker Heart Attack Trial (BHAT) (2) was seen in the “high-risk” groups, such as patients with heart failure. Similarly, the Survival and Ventricular Enlargement (SAVE) trial data (3) showed that the benefits of β-blockade were seen in addition to ACE inhibitor therapy. How should this trial alter current management? The intuitive answer is that it should not alter but should strengthen current therapy. A sound therapeutic approach would ensure that all patients with acute MI receive aspirin, some form of immediate revascularization (pharmacologic or nonpharmacologic), and β-blockers. At the time of discharge, all eligible patients should receive ACE inhibitors and a statin in addition to aspirin and β-blockers.

This study highlights the fact that future trials of acute MI cannot ethically withhold β-blocker therapy. Furthermore, the beneficial effects may be a “class effect” and until such trials as the Carvedilol and Metoprolol European Trial (4) are completed, insufficient data exist to choose a particular β-blocker in the clinical setting.
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