Contamination of urine specimens did not differ with collection technique in women with acute dysuria


**Question**
In women with acute dysuria, does contamination of urine specimens differ with collection technique (midstream, midstream plus vaginal tampon, or nonmidstream with no cleansing)?

**Design**
Randomized (allocation not concealed†, blinded (outcome assessors),* controlled trial.

**Setting**
An outpatient clinic for students at Rutgers University, New Jersey, United States.

**Patients**
242 consecutive women (mean age 21 y) who were mostly undergraduates and had symptoms suggestive of cystitis. Exclusion criteria were antibiotic use, use of urethral instrumentation in the previous 7 days, or known urologic abnormality or nephrolithiasis. Follow-up was complete.

**Intervention**
84 women were allocated to midstream collection and were instructed to cleanse the perineum with a bactericidal wipe by wiping from front to rear; spread the labia; discard the first urine output; and then collect the midstream specimen in a clean, nonsterile container. 81 women were allocated to midstream collection plus a vaginal tampon. They were given the same instructions as the midstream group but were also instructed to insert a vaginal tampon before collection of the specimen. 77 women were unable or unwilling to use a tampon were reallocated to the midstream group. 77 women were allocated to the nonmidstream-with-no-cleansing group and were instructed to urinate into a clean, nonsterile container without cleansing the perineum or discarding the first urine output.

**Main outcome measures**
Contamination of urine specimens assessed by microbial composition of cultures. Samples were considered contaminated if they contained *Enterococcus*, *Streptococcus viridans*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, S. epidermidis, or a mixed culture of ≥ 2 organisms.

**Main results**
The midstream (32%), midstream-plus-vaginal-tampon (31%), and nonmidstream-with-no-cleansing (29%) groups did not differ for rate of contaminated specimens (P = 0.82). When the 2 interventions were analyzed together as 1 group and compared with the no-cleansing group, the lack of difference remained (P = 0.65).

**Conclusion**
In women with acute dysuria, contamination of urine specimens did not differ with collection technique (midstream, midstream plus vaginal tampon, or nonmidstream with no cleansing).
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**Commentary**
In a letter to the *Lancet* in 1979 (1), 2 British general practitioners asked whether traditional methods of collecting urine for culture was a necessary ritual. They presented data from their own practice indicating that rates of contamination in specimens collected with or without the usual precautions were no different.

20 years later, Lifshitz and Kramer have confirmed that the usual contortions associated with traditional methods of collecting a midstream urine specimen are unnecessary. Equivalent results are obtained by simply requesting the patient to urinate into a clean container.

Current guidelines aim to decrease or eliminate the use of urine culture as a guide to the diagnosis and treatment of acute urinary tract infection (2). On the basis of a cost-utility analysis of office-based treatment strategies, Barry and colleagues concluded that the preferred strategy was one of empiric treatment without urine culture (3). A trial in 24 primary care clinics with almost 4000 patients indicated that treatment based on advice and prescription over the telephone, instead of on an office visit, was effective in decreasing laboratory use and overall costs while maintaining or improving the quality of patient care (4).

What is the bottom line? In acute, uncomplicated urinary tract infections, urine culture is unnecessary. In other circumstances, such as chronic or recurrent infection, urine culture may be indicated, in which case a simple urine sample in a clean container is adequate.

**References**